

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		13700-0190	3531
09/277,417	03/26/1999	YUSUKE HISADA	EXAMINER	
7590 06/02/2004			JACKSON,	JENISE E
JONES & ASKEW 191 PEACHTREE ST NE 37TH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, C	GA 303031769		2131	id
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		HISADA ET AL.
•	09/277,417	Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	ì
r _	Jenise E Jackson	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sneet w	in the correspond
riod for Reply	SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	nONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the coering will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
	allowance except for formal file	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice t 	unger Ex parte Quayre, 1999 o	•
Disposition of Claims		
	plication.	
4) Claim(s) 1-112 is/are performs in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	04 04 06 16	ng 112 is/are rejected.
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 13-14, 18, 21-22, 31, 40-</u>	41, 60-61, 70, 79-81, 91-90, 10	197-107 is/are objected to.
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12,15-17,19,20,23-30,32 s.</u> 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement	
Application Papers		
	Examiner.	
9)☐ The specification is objected in 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
1011 1110 010111101	· · · · · · · · · · · · hold in an	avance. See St Ot it here's
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ched Office Action or form PTO-152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	by the Examiner. Note the atta	Glica Ciliat VIII
Delarity under 35 H S.C. § 119		o c 440(a) (d) or (f)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	or foreign priority under 35 U.S	C.C. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a	de currionte have heen received	1.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received	I. In Application No
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the pri	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage .
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the pri	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage .
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage .
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the pri	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage .
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action Attachment(s)	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) in for a list of the certified copies	d in Application No been received in this National Stage s not received.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been received documents have been received of the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) in for a list of the certified copies	I. I in Application No been received in this National Stage . s not received.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/277,417

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- Claims 1-3, 13-14, 18, 21-22, 31, 40-41, 60-61, 70, 79-81, 91-96, 108-112 are rejected 2. under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aziz et al. and as understood by the Examiner with aide of Merriam-Webster's Dictionary .
- As per claims 1, 13, 40, 79, 96, the Examiner asserts that since the Applicant does not provide a definition of personalized access ticket, the Examiner broadly defines a personalized access ticket, as per Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, to be a means of access(see pg. 1232). Therefore, Aziz et al. discloses a personalized access ticket, because the packet of Aziz et al. provides a means of accessing a computer or hosts(see col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-4); receiving a personalized access ticket containing a sender's identification(see col. 2, lines 27-35, and a recipient's identification in correspondence, which is presented by a sender who wishes to send an email to a recipient so as to specify the recipient as an intended destination of the email(see col. 2, lines 27-35, col. 5, lines 48-55), at a secure communication service(i.e. tunneling bridge)(see col. 2, lines 9-18) for connecting communications between the sender and the receiver(see col. 2, lines 9-18, col. 6, lines 33-40; and controlling accesses between the sender and the recipient by verifying an access right of the sender with respect to the recipient according to the personalized access ticket at the secure communication service(see col. 7, lines 55-65, col. 8, lines 4-13).
 - As per claims 2, 41, 80, Aziz et al. discloses wherein at the controlling step the secure communication service(i.e. tunneling bridge) authenticates the personalized access ticket presented by the sender, and refuses a delivery of the email when the personalized access ticket presented by the sender has been altered(see col. 2, lines 10-18, col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-20, col. 5, lines 48-61). The Examiner asserts that because Aziz discloses that the packet is encrypted with a key, the Examiner asserts that the packet(i.e. ticket) would be determined to be altered because the keys has a corresponding key pair, and the key is encapsulated in the header.
 - As per claims 3, 81, 91, 108 Aziz et al. discloses wherein the personalized access ticket is signed by a secret key of a secure processing device(i.e. tunneling bridge) which issued the personalized access ticket, and at the controlling step the secure communication service

Application/Control Number: 09/277,417

Art Unit: 2131

authenticates the personalized access ticket by verifying a signature of the secure processing device in the personalized access ticket using a public key of the secure processing device(see col. 5, lines 48-61, col. 6, lines 12-32, col. 9, lines 59-67, col. 10, lines 1-16).

- 6. As per claims 14, 18, 93, 110, Aziz et al. discloses wherein the sender's identification and the recipient's identification in the personalized access ticket are given by anonymous identifications of the sender and the recipient, where an anonymous identification of each user contains at least one fragment of an official identification of each user by which each user is uniquely identifiable by a certification authority(see col. 2, lines 9-35, col. 15, lines 27-29).
- 7. As per claim 31, Aziz et al. discloses defining an official identification of each user by which each user is uniquely identifiable by a certification authority, and an anonymous identification of each user containing at least one fragment of the official identification; and identifying each user by the anonymous identification of each user in communications for emails on a communication network(see col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-4, col. 15, lines 27-39).
- 8. As per claims 70, 93, Aziz et al. discloses a certification authority device for defining an official identification of each user by which each user is uniquely identifiable by the certification authority device, and an anonymous identification of each user which contains at least one fragment of the official identification; and a communication network on which each user is identified by the anonymous identification of each user in communications for emails on the communication network(see col. 2, lines 9-35, col. 5, lines 16-61, col. 15, lines 27-39).
- 9. As per claim 91, Aziz et al. discloses a secure processing device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, comprising: a computer hardware; and a computer software for causing the computer hardware to receive a request for a personalized access ticket from a user, and issue a personalized access ticket containing a sender's identification and a recipient's identification in correspondence, which is signed by a secret key identification and a recipient's identification in correspondence, which is signed by a secret key of the secure processing device(see col. 4, lines 10-20, col. 5, lines 48-61, col. 9, lines 59-67, col. 10, 1-15).
- 10. As per claim 94, Aziz et al. discloses certification authority device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, a computer hardware; and a computer software for causing the computer hardware to issue to each user an identification of each user and an enabler of the identification of each user indicating a right to change any personalized access ticket that contains the identification of each user as a holder identification, where the personalized access ticket generally contains a sender's identification and a plurality of recipient's identifications in correspondence, and one of the sender's identification and the recipient's identifications is a holder identification(see col. 2, lines 9-18, col. 15, lines 27-39).
 - 11. As per claim 95, Aziz et al. discloses a secure processing device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, comprising: a computer hardware; and a computer software for causing the computer hardware to receive from a user a request for prescribed processing on a personalized access ticket containing a sender's identification and a

Application/Control Number: 09/277,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

plurality of recipient's identifications in correspondence, where one of the sender's identification and the recipient's identifications is a holder identification, and execute the prescribed processing on the personalized access ticket when the user presented both the holder identification contained in the personalized access ticket and an enabler corresponding to the holder identification which indicates a right to change the personalized access ticket containing the identification of the user as the holder identification(see col. 2, lines 9-24, col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-20).

- 12. As per claims 92, 109, Aziz et al. discloses a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing a computer to function as a directory service device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, the computer readable program code means includes: first computer readable program code means for causing said computer to manage an identification of each registrant and a disclosed information of each registrant which has a lower secrecy than a personal information, in a state which is accessible for search by unspecified many, and second computer readable program code means for causing said computer to issue a personalized access ticket containing a sender's identification and a recipient's identification in correspondence, to the sender in response to search conditions specified by the sender, by using an identification of a registrant whose disclosed information matches the search conditions as the recipient's identification and the sender's identification specified by the sender along with the search conditions(see col. 2, lines 9-35, col. 4, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-20, col. 13, lines 41-56).
- 13. As per claim 111, Aziz et al. discloses a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing a computer to function as a certification authority device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, the computer readable program code means includes: first computer readable program code means for causing said computer to issue to each user an identification of each user; and second computer readable program code means for causing said computer to issue to each user an enabler of the identification of each user indicating a right to change any personalized access ticket that contains the identification of each user as a holder identification, where the personalized access ticket generally contains a sender's identification and a plurality of recipient's identifications in correspondence, and one of the sender's identification and the recipient's identifications is a holder identification(see col. 2, lines 9-24, col. 4, lines 9-20, col. 15, lines 27-39).
- 14. As per claim 112, Aziz et al. discloses a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing a computer to function as a secure processing device for use in a communication system realizing email access control, the computer readable program code means includes: first computer readable program code means for causing said computer to receive from a user a request for prescribed processing on a personalized access ticket containing a sender's identification and a plurality of recipient's identifications in correspondence, where one of the sender's identification and the recipient's identifications is a holder identification; and second computer readable program code means for causing said computer to execute the prescribed processing on the personalized access ticket when the user presented both the holder identification contained in the personalized access ticket

Application/Control Number: 09/277,417

Art Unit: 2131

and an enabler corresponding to the holder identification which indicates a right to change the personalized access ticket containing the identification of the user as the holder identification (see col. 2, lines 9-24, col. 5, lines 48-61, col. 6, lines 1-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 21-22, 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over single reference Aziz as applied to claims 1 and 40 respectively.
- 17. As per claims 21, 22, 60-61, Aziz et al. discloses wherein the personalized access ticket contains a single sender's identification and a single recipient's identification in 1-to-1 correspondence(see fig. 12, sheet 7, see col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-4). The Examiner asserts that it would have been obvious to have one sender that could send to many recipient's packets, the motivation is that it allows the sender to communicate with than one recipient.
- 18. Claims 4-12, 15-17, 19-20, 23-30, 32-39, 42-59, 62-69, 71-78, 82-89, 90, 97-98, 99-107 are objected to as being dependent from rejected base claims. The prior art that Examiner searched certificates, tokens, kerberos, e-mail communications, anonymous communications, transmitting packets, failed to disclosed the above dependent limitations.

Page 5

ication/Control Number: 09/277,417

Init: 2131

Response to Amendment

The Applicant states that the Examiner is interpreting the claimed personalized access too broadly to read on the encapsulation of a data packet in Aziz. The Examiner is red to give the claim limitations a broad interpretations in light of the specification, not the fication in light of the claims. Therefore, Aziz does disclose a personalized access (see previous rejection).

The Applicant states that the claims have been amended to include information that ites an access right specifically for the purpose of the email access control. First, the claim tions do not claim the access right is only used for email access control. Therefore, this is moot. Aziz discloses an access right, because Aziz discloses a user at host A(sender) an email to a recipient(see col. 4, lines 10-16).

The Applicant states that Aziz's tunneling bridge is not equivalent to the secure function service, because Aziz's tunneling bridge is simply encrypting or decrypting the acket and it does not have any function for verifying an access right of the sender with to the recipient. The Examiner agrees with the Applicant the tunneling bridge does and decrypt the packets; however it does also verify an access right of the sender with to the recipient, because the packets are encrypted and decrypted using a key, there is tunneling bridge on the recipient side where the packet is decrypted using the key and then add to the recipient(see col. 4, lines 10-41, col. 6, lines 11-20).

The Applicant states that Aziz does disclose anonymous communication because only the resses are used in communication(see col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-15), and this Ip

Application/Control Number: 09/277,417

Art Unit: 2131

address it used for a key encrypting key, thus it meets the claim limitation(see col. 10, lines 1-15).

23. Claim 89 is allowable as well as 34-39, and 98.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-0040 for regular communications and (703) 308-6306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

May 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100